

Glenn Patent Group
3475 Edison Way, Suite L
Menlo Park CA 94025
Tel: 650 474 8400
Fax 650 474 8401
Customer Number 22,862

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 19 2004

Certificate of Transmission Under 37 C.F.R. §1.8

I hereby certify that this document and the documents referred to herein as attached are being transmitted via facsimile to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment, Attn: Examiner Jason Cardon to facsimile number (703) 872-9306 on the below indicated date of deposit.

Date of Deposit:	10/19/2004	Name of Person Making the Deposit:	Rhonda Dunn	Signature of the Person Making the Deposit:	<i>Rhonda Dunn</i>
------------------	------------	------------------------------------	-------------	---	--------------------

In re Application of: Donoho et al

Serial No. : 09/522,186

Filed: 09 March 2000

Title: RELEVANCE CLAUSE FOR COMPUTED RELEVANCE MESSAGING

Docket No.: UNIV0001D4

Art Unit: 2142

Examiner: Cardone, Jason D.

Date: October 19, 2004

Mail Stop Non Fee Amendment

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Pages (including coversheet): 14

Attached to this facsimile coversheet please find the following document:

- Response (13 pages)

OCT 19 2004

002/014

Certificate of Transmission Under 37 C.F.R. §1.8 Date of Transmission 10/18/2004.

I hereby certify that this document and the documents referred to herein as attached are being transmitted via facsimile to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Attn: Examiner Jason D. Cardone, Art Unit 2142, to facsimile number (703) 872-9306 on the above indicated date of transmission.

5

Rhonda Dunn
Rhonda Dunn

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

10

In re Application of: Donoho et al

Docket No.: UNIV0001D4

Serial No. : 09/522,186

Art Unit: 2142

Filed: 09 March 2000

Examiner: Cardone, Jason D.

15

Title: RELEVANCE CLAUSE FOR COMPUTED RELEVANCE MESSAGING

Date: October 19, 2004

Mail Stop Non Fee Amendment

20

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

Sir:

25

Applicant responds to the Office Action, mailed 8 September 2004 as follows:

The Examiner has indicated that the Terminal Disclaimer is acceptable with regards to Claims 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13-31, 34, 35, 37, 39, 41, and 43-61, provided

30

that such claims are presented in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and the intervening claims. Responsive thereto, Applicant submits herewith a replacement set of claims in which Claim 1 is currently amended to include the limitations of Claim 2. Applicant has also amended Claim 32 to include the limitations of Claim 33 and 34. Accordingly, Claims 1-45


35

are in allowable condition. Applicant notes that Claim 46 is an independent claim. However, the Examiner raised no objection with regard to Claim 46. Applicant is not certain whether this is an oversight on the part of the Examiner or

whether the Examiner has in fact determined that subject matter of Claim 46 is sufficiently distinct from that of the '362 patent to be allowable pursuant to the terminal disclaimer herein. Applicant assumes that the Examiner intentionally indicated that Claim 46 would be allowable. Accordingly, applicant deems all
5 Claims dependent therefrom to be allowable as well. Applicant notes that the dependency of Claims 47, 48 and 52 have been incorrectly listed as being from Claim 32. Applicant has corrected the pendency of these claims and these claims are now dependent from Claim 46. Should the Applicant be mistaken and the Examiner have issues with regard to the patentability of Claim 46 in view of
10 the '362 patent, then Applicant is prepared to address the issue in a prompt fashion with the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,

15



Michael A. Glenn
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 30,176

20

Customer Number: 22862